IP DEPT

→ CENTRAL FAX

Ø1001

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE (Docket No. 100191CIP)

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 12 2006

First Inventor: David SCHROEDER

Serial No.:

10/568,727

Filing Date:

10-Sep-04

Title: CHEMICAL-MECHANICAL POLISHING

COMPOSITION AND METHOD FOR

USING THE SAME

Examiner:

Not known

Art Group:

Not known

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA, 22313-1450

## Certificate of Facsimile Transmission

I. Mariejose Monsalve, hereby certify that this paper and enclosure(s), if any, are hereby being transmitted by facsimile to the USPTO's central facsimile No. (571) 273-8300 on 12 Oct 2006.

(Sender's signature)

## INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.56, §1.97 and §1.98, Applicant(s) request(s) that references cited in the copending prior application, US Serial No. 10/660,379, filed on 11-Sep-2003 be made of record in the present continuation-in-part application. In accordance with M.P.E.P. §2001.06(b), Applicant has not separately listed herein the prior art cited in connection with US Serial No. 10/660,379, however, the documents cited therein are, incorporated herein by reference for examination purposes.

The aforementioned documents disclose numerous specific features. There has been no attempt to enumerate each and every feature disclosed by each document, however.

No inference should be drawn that the prior art cited in the parent case represents a comprehensive investigation or that any disclosure(s) made therein is equivalent to the subject invention. The discussion of any art and the citation of any documents herein are not to be construed as an admission that these citations are necessarily within the invention field of endeavor or prior in time to a particular date which may be relevant with respect to the instant application. Further, the recitation herein of the art and documents is not to be construed as an assertion that more pertinent art could not possibly be in existence or that the referenced documents are necessarily prior art as defined by the patent law.

Applicant reserves the right to later set forth how the claimed invention is distinguished over the disclosure of any document or other art, including the disclosures of the art and documents referenced herein, and those that may be cited by the Examiner in rejecting a claim in the instant patent application.

The referenced documents are submitted to ensure that the Examiner has the benefit of all the information of which the Applicants are aware which may be helpful to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office in its examination of this application. The Examiner is requested to review the documents and determine the extent of materiality of the disclosures with respect to the present invention.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 12 October 2006

Steven Weseman

Reg. No. 41,372